



ISSN:2456-9739

Available Online at <http://www.bjbmr.org>

BRITISH JOURNAL OF BIO-MEDICAL RESEARCH

Cross Ref DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24942/bjbmr.2018.269>

Volume 02, Issue 04, July -August 2018

Research Article

Antimicrobial Drug Resistance Pattern of ESKAPE Pathogens Isolated from Animals in Bareilly, India

Bhoj R Singh*, Monika Bhardwaj, Dharmendra K Sinha, and Vinodh Kumar

Division of Epidemiology, ICA-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received on 05th July 2018
Peer Reviewed on 19th July 2018
Revised on 08th August 2018
Published on 27th August 2018

Keywords:

ESKAPE, MDR, MBL, ESBL,
Herbal antimicrobial drug
resistance (HADR)

ABSTRACT

The analytical study, of 3240 bacterial isolates from veterinary clinical cases and related sources since 2011 to 2017, aimed to understand the extent of infections in animals associated with ESKAPE group (439) of pathogens (*Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species) and to map their antimicrobial sensitivity pattern. All the six ESKAPE pathogens caused infection in animals, the most common being *Enterobacter* species followed by *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *E. faecium* and *A. baumannii*. None of the antibiotics was effective on all the ESKAPE bacterial isolates however, carbapenems (80.4%), tigecycline (76.8%), chloramphenicol (75.8%) and cefepimes (74.6%) inhibited majority of the isolates. More than 76% ESKAPE bacteria had multiple drug resistance (MDR), significantly ($p, \leq 0.05$) more common in *E. faecium* (87.5%) and *P. aeruginosa* (94.5%) isolates. Metallo- β -lactamase (MBL) and extended spectrum- β -lactamase (ESBL) production was detected in 5% and 54% ESKAPE isolates, respectively. Only colistin, cefepime and gentamicin were effective on $\geq 75\%$ *P. aeruginosa* isolates but cinnamaldehyde could inhibit almost 98% of *P. aeruginosa* and $>90\%$ isolates of other ESKAPE bacteria (except *E. faecium*). Cinnamaldehyde, cinnamon oil, carvacrol and ajowan oil were on the most effective herb killing almost 90% of ESKAPE pathogens. Ciprofloxacin ($r, -0.12$) and colistin ($r, -0.14$) antibacterial activity had significant ($p, \leq 0.05$) negative correlation with that of ajowan oil. All of the herbal drugs tested except sandalwood oil (SWO) and *Zanthoxylum rhetsa* seed carp essential oil (ZREO) were slightly more often active against carbapenem resistant (CR) strains. However, lemongrass oil (LGO) and ajowan oil were significantly ($p, 0.01$) more often active against CR strains than on non-CR strains. Most of the herbs were less active on ESBL and MBL positive ESKAPE bacteria except ZREO, showing more activity towards MBL and ESBL positive bacteria, indicating a promising area of research to find the active ingredient of ZREO to counter infections by ESBL and MBL strains.

Br J Bio Med Res Copyright©2018, **Bhoj R Singh** et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license

Corresponding Author: Dr. Bhoj R Singh, Head, Division of Epidemiology, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar-243122, Bareilly, UP,

INTRODUCTION:

The ESKAPE group of pathogens (*Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species) is the leading cause of nosocomial infections in humans throughout the world.^[1, 2] The ESKAPE bacteria are among the most common causes of life-threatening nosocomial infections amongst critically ill and immunocompromised individuals with characteristic potential drug resistance.^[3] The ESKAPE group of bacteria have similar kind of drug resistance mechanism as possessed by many of the non-ESKAPE bacteria.^[2, 4] There is hardly any antimicrobial drug which may be effective universally on all ESKAPEs because of wide spectrum of antimicrobial drug resistance traits (ADRT). The ADRT is the outcome of evolution spanning billions of years and over the course, bacteria have developed mechanisms to avert, expel, negate, destroy or withstand compounds structurally similar to the antibiotics in clinical use.^[5] Lot of research is going on ESKAPE bacteria but little is understood about their occurrence in animals and its relevance to health of livestock owners and veterinarians.^[6] In the present study the data available in clinical epidemiology laboratory of Division of Epidemiology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute for antimicrobial sensitivity assays of bacteria associated with infections in animals has been analyzed to understand the drug resistance pattern of ESKAPE pathogens occurring in animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From the antibiogram database of bacteria (3240) isolated from referred veterinary clinical cases (2011-2017) available in clinical epidemiology laboratory of Division of Epidemiology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar data for ESKAPE group of bacteria was extracted and analysed in Microsoft Excel-2010. The zone of growth inhibition (ZI) data was available against discs containing one μL of agarwood oil (AWO), ajowan oil (AO), betel leaf oil (BO), carvacrol (Cr), cinnamon oil (CO), cinnamaldehyde (CIN), citral (CTR), guggul oil (GO), holy basil oil (HBO), lemongrass oil (LGO), patchouli essential oil (PO), sandalwood oil (SWO), thyme essential oil (TO) and *Zanthoxylum rhetsa* seed carp essential oil (ZREO). Any zone of growth inhibition around a disc containing the above mentioned herbal compound was counted as susceptible.^[7] The ZI data for antibiotics discs purchased from Difco-BBL including ampicillin (10 μg), aztreonam (30 μg), carbapenems (imipenem/meropenem/ertapenem 10 μg), cefepime (30 μg), ceftriaxone (10 μg), chloramphenicol (25 μg), ciprofloxacin (10 μg), colistin (10 μg), cotrimoxazole

(25 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), gentamicin (30 μg), nalidixic acid (30 μg), nitrofurantoin (300 μg), piperacillin (100 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), tigecycline (15 μg), vancomycin (30 μg), and E-test (BioMerieux, India) results for ESBL and MBL was also retrieved from the data base for analysis. To determine sensitivity CLSI^[8] criteria was followed where so ever applicable. For the selected 439 bacterial isolates, sensitivity pattern for herbal antimicrobials and conventional antimicrobial drugs was included for the analytical purposes to determine carbapenem resistance, ESBL and MBL production. The bacteria resistant to one or more carbapenems (meropenem 10 μg , imipenem 10 μg , ertapenem 10 μg) were classified as carbapenem resistant (CR).

The data for 439 ESKAPE strains was analysed for finding association between different parameters of antimicrobial drug sensitivity in different types of bacteria, isolated from different disease conditions and in different years using chi-square/ Fisher's exact tests and odds ratio.

RESULTS

From the antimicrobial sensitivity database at clinical epidemiology laboratory of Division of Epidemiology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute for 3240 bacteria isolated from veterinary clinical cases and related sources since 2011-2017, a total of 439 bacteria (13.5%) were classified into ESKAPE group (Table 1). All 6 pathogens of ESKAPE category were isolated from animals in association with one or other disease conditions. Of those, most of the isolates belonged to *Enterobacter* species (44.4%) followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (22.1%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (16.6%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (12.98%), *Enterococcus faecium* (3.6%) and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (0.23%). The most common source of ESKAPE bacteria was samples of abscess and wound infections in animals (18.7%), followed by cases of abortion and infertility (17.5%), healthy animals (17.1%), animals died of infection (15%), otopharyngeal infections (5.2%), nasal swabs (3.9%), mastitis (2.5%), conjunctivitis (2.5%), genital tract infections (2.3%), and urinary tract infections (1.4%). ESKAPE pathogens (*S. aureus*) could also be detected in two injectables for animal use.

Table 1. ESKAPE bacteria isolated from animals and related sources at Epidemiology Laboratory of Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar from 2011-2017.

Condition of animal	<i>Acinetobacter boumannii</i>	<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.*	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Total
Abortion & stillbirths	0	47	0	25	1	4	77
Abscess or wound	0	25	0	19	14	24	82
Injectable vaccine#	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Conjunctivitis	0	5	0	0	4	2	11
Death	0	37	0	19	6	4	66
Diarrhoea	0	28	0	10	20	1	59
Healthy	0	31	16	11	10	7	75
Genitaltract infections	1	5	0	3	0	1	10
Mastitis	0	1	0	5	0	5	11
Nasal catarrh	0	6	0	4	4	3	17
Otopharyngeal-infections	0	6	0	1	12	4	23
Urinary tract infections	0	4	0	0	2	0	6
Total	1	195	16	97	73	57	439

**Enterobacter aerogenes* 11, *E. agglomerans* 171, *E. amnigenus* 6, *E. gregoviae* 6, *E. nimipressuralis* 1; # from two FMD Vaccine vials

Antimicrobial Drug Resistance in ESKAPE Bacteria from Animals

Resistance to conventional antimicrobial drugs varied for different antibiotics, with respect to source of bacteria (Table. 2), year of isolation (Fig. 1) and type of infection caused. Resistance in isolates of ESKAPE bacteria was the commonest (>80%) for ampicillin and was the least for carbapenems (~20% isolates).

The ESKAPE group of bacteria (Table 2) often detected with potential to produce ESBL (53.99%), MBL (5.01%) and had carbapenem resistance (19.59%). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *E. faecium* isolates were commonly resistant to carbapenems but metallo- β -lactamase type carbapenem resistance was detected in *P. aeruginosa* only and not in *E. faecium* isolates (Fig. 1). Besides, *K. pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter* species isolates also had MBL activity.

Multiple drug resistance (MDR) was common (76.5%) among ESKAPE bacteria under study. However, isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (94.5%) and *E. faecium* (87.5%) were significantly ($p < 0.01$) more often MDR type than other bacteria. Antimicrobial activity of different antimicrobials on ESKAPE pathogens under study (Table 3) revealed that aztreonam was almost ineffective on Gram positive bacteria (GPBs). Among GPBs, *E. faecium* were more often ($p, < 0.05$) resistant to most of the antimicrobials (except ampicillin and tigecycline) than *S. aureus* strains. Resistance to most of the antibiotics was common among *P. aeruginosa*, followed by *K. pneumoniae*, and

Enterobacter isolates were more often sensitive. However, colistin was more often ($p, < 0.01$) effective on *P. aeruginosa* (90.5%) than either on *Klebsiella* (67.2%) or on *Enterobacter* (71.5%) isolates.

Temporal Pattern of Antimicrobial Susceptibility of ESKAPE Pathogens

Temporal pattern of antimicrobial resistance among ESKAPE pathogens from animals (Fig. 2) revealed significant ($p, \leq 0.02$) increase in tetracycline resistance after 2012 (25.5%) with several ups and downs. Similar undulating patterns were also evident for other antimicrobials. Carbapenem resistance (CR) and metallo- β -lactamase (MBL) mediated resistance trends were in inverted U shape, minimum number of CR isolates in 2013 with shallow plateaus at either of the sides of time line with significant heights ($p, \leq 0.02$) in 2011-2012, and 2016-2017. While extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) positivity was highest in ESKAPEs in the year 2014 (75%) after a steady increase and then shown downward trend for two years and again a significant ($p, 0.03$) increase in share of resistant ESKAPEs in 2017.

Herbal Antimicrobial Drug Resistance in ESKAPE Bacteria from Animals

Similar to conventional antimicrobial drug resistance, resistance to herbal antimicrobials also varied among isolates of ESKAPE bacteria from different sources (Table. 4). Ajowan oil, thyme oil and carvacrol were equally active on all types of ESKAPEs but significantly less active against *P.*

aeruginosa ($p, \leq 0.01$). The resistance pattern of ESKAPE pathogens to different herbal antimicrobials tested (Table 5) revealed that *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter* spp. isolates were equally susceptible to betel leaf oil but the oil was significantly ($p, \leq 0.01$) less active against *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* isolates. About 90% of the ESKAPEs isolated from different sources were resistant to guggul oil and agarwood oil but *S. aureus* was significantly ($p, \leq 0.01$) more often sensitive than isolates of other ESKAPEs. About 85% of the ESKAPE isolates were resistant to patchouli oil but *S. aureus* isolates (32.7%) were more often sensitive ($p, \leq 0.01$) to patchouli oil than other ESKAPEs.

Though cinnamon oil was inactive against almost 11% of ESKAPEs, *K. pneumoniae* were invariably sensitive to the oil. Similarly cinnamaldehyde inhibited >93% ESKAPEs and *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* were significantly ($p, \leq 0.01$) more often sensitive to it than other pathogens. Holy basil oil (HBO) was very active antimicrobial against *K. pneumoniae* (96%) and *Enterobacter* species (>90%) but significantly ($p, \leq 0.01$) less number of *E. faecium* (<7%) and *P. aeruginosa* (<15%) isolates were sensitive to HBO.

Citral and lemongrass oil (LGO) had similar pattern of antimicrobial activity but activity was more potent in citral than in LGO inhibiting 67.7% and 53% ESKAPEs, respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* strains were more often resistant to LGO and citral than isolates of other pathogens ($p, \leq 0.01$). *Zanthoxylum rhetsa* seed carp essential oil (ZREO) failed to inhibit >72 ESKAPE strains but was significantly ($p, \leq 0.02$) better antimicrobial (75%) on *P. aeruginosa* strains.

Resistance in ESKAPE bacteria isolated in year 2017 to ajowan oil was higher than those isolated in year 2015 ($p, 0.005$) and 2016 ($p, 0.0004$). Similarly, resistance in ESKAPE bacteria isolated in year 2017 to betel-leaf oil was higher than in year 2015 ($p, 0.008$) and slightly more than those isolated in 2016 ($p, 0.09$). After 2012, resistance to guggul oil and agarwood oil decreased significantly ($p, \leq 0.05$), while resistance to cinnamon oil was more commonly observed in 2013 thereafter it ran on down path ($p, \leq 0.01$). Similarly, resistance to carvacrol among ESKAPEs was recorded maximum in year 2013 followed by 2017, and was significantly ($p, \leq 0.05$) higher than among isolated in other years. Resistance to patchouli oil, LGO, SWO and citral shown a significant ($p, \leq 0.05$) reduction after 2012 but resistance increased significantly ($p, 0.006$) again in year 2017. Resistance to HBO was significantly more common in ESKAPEs in year 2015 than in

those isolated in 2011 ($p, 0.02$) and 2016 ($p, 0.04$). In 2017, cinnamaldehyde resistance was significantly lower ($p, \leq 0.01$) than in year 2015 and 2016. Resistance to ZERO was maximum in 2013 and significantly higher than ESKAPES isolated in other years ($p, \leq 0.05$). Resistance to ajowan oil in ESKAPE bacteria isolated in year 2017 was higher than in year 2015 ($p, 0.005$) and 2016 ($p, 0.0004$).

Correlation between Antimicrobial Activity of Herbal and Conventional Antimicrobial Drugs

Correlation among antibacterial activity indicated by diameter of ZI by different herbal (Table 6) and conventional antimicrobials (Table 7) often indicated good correlation in antibacterial activity of of herbal and conventional antimicrobials. All of the herbal drugs tested except SWO and ZREO were often more active against CR strains but activity of ajowan oil and LGO was significantly ($p, 0.01$) better on CR strains than on non-CR strains of ESKAPE pathogens. All the herbs except ZREO were effective against ESBL and MBL producing strains than non ESBL and non-MBL producing isolates of ESKAPES similar to most of the conventional antibiotics. However, activity of ZERO on ESBL ($p, 0.01$) and MBL ($p, 0.05$) isolates of ESKAPE pathogens was significantly higher than on non-ESBL and on MBL isolates of ESKAPES. Most of the antibiotics tested except colistin were less active ($p, \leq 0.05$) on ESBL and MBL producing isolates than on non-ESBL and on MBL isolates of ESKAPES. All ZIs by herbal drugs were positively ($p, \leq 0.01$) correlated ($r, 0.12-0.69$) with each other (Table 4) except of ZREO. The ZIs induced by ZREO had negative correlation ($p, 0.05$) with ZI by carvacrol ($r, -0.18$), thyme ($r, -0.12$), cinnamon oil ($r, -0.13$) and LGO ($r, -0.19$) indicating a probability of different mechanism of action or some novel kind of active ingredient possibly having some similarity with one present in ajowan oil ($r, 0.15$), betel leaf oil ($r, 0.16$), guggul oil ($r, 0.16$), patchouli oil ($r, 0.27$) and agarwood oil ($r, 0.32$) with significant positive ($p, \leq 0.01$) correlation.

Table 2. Antimicrobial drug resistance (AMR) in ESKAPE bacteria isolated from animals and related sources at Epidemiology Laboratory of Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar from 2011-2017 (shown as % of strains resistant).

Resistance to Antimicrobial drugs	Abortion and Still births n, 77	Abscess or wound n, 82	Injectable vaccines n, 2	Conjunctivitis n, 11	Death n, 66	Diarrhoea n, 59	Healthy n, 75	Genital tract infections n, 10	Mastitis n, 11	Nasal catarrh n, 17	Otopharyngeal infections n, 23	Urinary tract infections n, 6	Total n, 439
Ampicillin	72.58	78.08	50.00	90.91	83.05	96.00	76.27	77.78	54.55	76.47	91.30	66.67	80.10
Aztreonam	27.94	55.00	NT	100.00	33.33	50.00	50.82	66.67	36.36	46.15	47.83	33.33	44.23
Cefepime	13.46	27.78	NT	0.00	21.28	17.65	42.59	100.00	20.00	25.00	37.50	0.00	25.35
Cefoxitin	56.36	44.64	NT	66.67	55.56	54.90	57.14	50.00	42.86	55.56	60.87	50.00	54.18
Ceftriaxone	3.70	27.78	NT	33.33	29.31	46.15	30.36	50.00	18.18	53.85	21.74	33.33	28.05
Chloramphenicol	10.14	21.52	NT	36.36	14.75	42.86	23.29	20.00	0.00	33.33	56.52	33.33	24.15
Ciprofloxacin	13.04	40.00	NT	18.18	26.15	33.90	36.99	30.00	36.36	23.53	43.48	83.33	31.37
Colistin	30.16	44.93	0.00	33.33	33.33	10.42	56.36	100.00	36.36	28.57	18.18	16.67	34.36
Cotrimoxazole	22.58	48.00	NT	16.67	46.03	68.97	47.62	25.00	36.36	58.82	78.26	100.00	48.21
Erythromycin	82.76	62.50	0.00	50.00	80.36	98.21	73.77	50.00	42.86	72.73	82.61	83.33	77.01
Gentamicin	21.92	19.48	NT	0.00	13.85	16.95	26.03	22.22	9.09	5.88	17.39	0.00	18.38
Nalidixic acid	23.81	72.22	NT	33.33	46.55	60.00	53.85	66.67	50.00	83.33	86.36	75.00	55.97
Nitrofurantoin	33.80	35.29	0.00	45.45	36.92	55.93	54.79	50.00	27.27	47.06	56.52	50.00	43.72
Piperacillin	40.00	51.02	NT	75.00	48.00	66.67	58.18	100.00	27.27	66.67	41.18	66.67	51.94
Tetracycline	34.67	43.75	0.00	9.09	61.54	74.58	47.95	50.00	63.64	64.71	73.91	66.67	52.08
Tigecycline	17.46	20.29	NT	0.00	15.38	48.98	7.41	0.00	9.09	41.67	52.94	33.33	23.21
Vancomycin	92.50	80.95	0.00	100.00	90.48	97.44	75.68	0.00	62.50	100.00	90.00	75.00	85.39
Carbapenem	12.99	15.85	0.00	27.27	6.06	33.90	28.00	20.00	9.09	11.76	34.78	33.33	19.59
MDR	66.23	73.17	0.00	63.64	83.33	88.14	74.67	70.00	72.73	76.47	95.65	83.33	76.54
ESBL positive	45.45	60.98	0.00	45.45	60.61	52.54	48.00	10.00	63.64	64.71	69.57	83.33	53.99
MBL Positive	3.90	4.88	0.00	0.00	3.03	11.86	6.67	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.01

Table 3. Antimicrobial resistance (determined as per CLSI) in different ESKAPE bacteria isolated from veterinary clinical cases.

Antimicrobial agent	Percent of resistant bacteria						Total (n, 439)
	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (n, 1)	<i>Enterobacter</i> species (n, 195)	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (n, 16)	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (n, 97)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (n, 73)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (n, 57)	
Ampicillin	0	76.2	40.0	97.5	95.8	50.9	80.1
Tetracycline	0	40.4	92.9	47.9	83.6	49.1	52.1
Gentamicin	0	10.6	92.9	18.0	18.3	27.3	18.4
Nitrofurantoin	100	31.3	78.6	52.8	87.7	1.8	43.7
Nalidixic acid	100	34.3	100.0	42.9	93.4	83.3	56.0
Cotrimoxazole	0	33.3	100.0	33.7	87.0	57.1	48.2
Ciprofloxacin	0	22.1	100.0	26.4	26.0	61.8	31.4

Chloramphenicol	0	10.9	50.0	10.3	80.8	9.1	24.2
Erythromycin	0	81.6	71.4	97.1	91.5	20.8	77.0
Vancomycin	0	95.0	53.3	95.5	98.1	55.8	85.4
Colistin	100	28.5	100.0	32.8	9.5	63.6	34.4
Tigecycline	NT	8.8	0.0	12.7	84.4	6.3	23.2
Cefoxitin	0	54.1	92.9	31.6	85.3	27.1	54.2
Ceftriaxone	0	17.5	85.7	17.7	54.9	19.6	28.0
Cefepime	NT	15.9	93.8	20.4	22.9	35.6	25.4
Aztreonam	100	31.1	92.9	22.6	53.7	86.0	44.2
Piperacillin	NT	41.2	85.7	57.9	67.2	43.6	51.9
CR	0	11.3	56.3	14.4	45.2	14.0	19.6
ESBL	100	53.8	50.0	52.6	54.8	56.1	54.0
MBL Positive	0	1.5	0.0	10.3	12.3	0.0	5.0
MDR	100	72.3	87.5	73.2	94.5	70.2	76.5

Table 4. Herbal antimicrobial resistance (HAMR) in ESKAPE bacteria isolated from animals and related sources at Epidemiology Laboratory of Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar from 2011-2017 (shown as % of strains resistant).

Herbal antimicrobial	Abortion and Still births n, 77	Abscess or wound n, 82	Injectable vaccines n, 2	Conjunctivitis n, 11	Death n, 66	Diarrhoea n, 59	Healthy n, 75	Genital tract infections n, 10	Mastitis n, 11	Nasal catarrh n, 17	Otopharyngeal infections n, 23	Urinary tract infections n, 6	Total n, 439
Agarwood oil	96.77	80.33	100.00	71.43	87.04	94.74	94.29	87.50	60.00	91.67	81.25	83.33	88.73
Ajowan oil	1.92	12.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	14.71	9.43	0.00	0.00	40.00	37.50	33.33	12.23
Betel leaf oil	45.10	50.00	50.00	0.00	38.64	20.59	54.72	0.00	28.57	60.00	43.75	66.67	43.84
Carvacrol	1.33	7.25	0.00	0.00	3.45	31.48	5.63	0.00	0.00	18.18	27.27	33.33	9.95
Cinnamaldehyde	7.84	8.00	NT	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	6.77
Cinnamon oil	5.08	7.14	0.00	50.00	11.54	5.26	24.07	50.00	0.00	10.00	6.25	16.67	11.00
Citral	74.51	54.00	NT	50.00	51.35	35.29	47.17	0.00	28.57	66.67	50.00	50.00	53.01
Guggul oil	87.50	89.47	50.00	50.00	87.30	96.23	93.06	100.00	80.00	75.00	77.27	83.33	88.31
Holy basil oil	17.31	26.79	0.00	0.00	22.22	20.00	42.59	0.00	20.00	85.71	46.67	50.00	28.77
LGO	80.28	58.46	0.00	0.00	69.64	75.00	64.29	71.43	30.00	84.62	65.00	50.00	67.65
Patchouli	92.65	70.51	NT	77.78	89.09	96.23	86.11	100.00	70.00	76.92	78.26	66.67	84.56
SWO	87.32	68.06	0.00	77.78	67.24	94.44	73.24	87.50	57.14	75.00	71.43	83.33	76.73
Thyme	0.00	20.00	NT	0.00	11.11	24.14	7.69	0.00	33.33	44.44	43.75	50.00	18.37
ZREO	90.00	54.84	100.00	44.44	88.57	48.00	96.88	50.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	72.63

Table 5. Herbal antimicrobial resistance (determined using 1 µl discs) in different ESKAPE bacteria isolated from veterinary clinical cases.

Antimicrobial agent	Percent of resistant bacteria (number of isolates tested)						Total (n, 439)
	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (n, 1)	<i>Enterobacter</i> species (n, 195)	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (n, 16)	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (n, 97)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (n, 73)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (n, 57)	
Ajowan oil	NT	0.0	0.0	2.2	70.2	0.0	12.2
Betel leaf oil	NT	32.3	92.9	24.4	80.9	41.3	43.8
Guggul oil	100	91.6	100.0	90.2	94.3	64.3	88.3
Carvacrol	0	0.0	0.0	1.2	54.3	0.0	9.9
Patchouli	NT	92.9	100.0	91.0	91.4	32.7	84.6
Thyme	NT	3.7	NT	4.4	76.9	3.3	18.4
Agar Oil	NT	91.8	100.0	91.9	94.0	65.3	88.7
Cinnamon oil	100	7.9	71.4	0.0	10.0	14.3	11.0
Holy basil oil	NT	9.7	92.9	4.0	85.7	27.1	28.8

Cinnamledehyde	NT	4.2	50.0	2.3	2.2	9.1	6.8
Citral	NT	45.8	78.6	52.3	82.6	34.1	53.0
LGO	NT	67.3	64.3	69.2	82.8	49.1	67.6
SWO	NT	83.8	71.4	82.1	85.9	36.4	76.7
ZREO	0	84.3	100.0	72.2	25.0	76.5	72.6

Table 6. Correlation (using diameter of zone of inhibition around the antimicrobial containing disc) of herbal drug sensitivity of ESKAPE bacteria with their sensitivity to other antimicrobial drugs (significance of correlation coefficient was determined using two-tail statistics at $p \leq 0.05$).

Herbal antimicrobials	Sensitivity had significant negative correlation with	No significant correlation
Agarwood Oil	Aztreonam, Ciprofloxacin	Colistin, Nalidixic acid, Cotrimoxazole, Gentamicin, Tetracycline, Piperacillin, CR, ESBL, MBL
Ajowan oil	Ciprofloxacin, Colistin, ESBL	MBL
Betel leaf oil	ESBL	Ciprofloxacin, Vancomycin, colistin, Piperacillin, CR, MBL
Carvacrol	ZREO, ESBL, MBL	CR, Aztreonam, Colistin, Ciprofloxacin
Cinnamledehyde	Colistin, ESBL	Ampicillin, Ciprofoxacin, Colistin, Aztreonam, CR, MBL
Cinnamon oil	ZREO, ESBL	Erythromycin, Vancomycin, Piperaciollin, CR, MBL
Citral	ESBL	Ciprofloxacin, Colistin, Cefepime, Aztreonam, CR, MBL
Guggul oil	Aztreonam, ESBL	Genatmicin, Nitrofurantoin, Ciprofloxacin, colistin, ceftriaxone, cefepime, piperacillin, CR
Holy basil oil	ESBL	ZREO, Vancomycin, Colistin, Ciprofloxacin, Piperacillin, CR, MBL
LGO	Zreo	Gentamicin, Ciprofloxacin, Colistin, Cefepime, Aztreonam, Piperacillin, ESBL, MBL
Patchouli	Aztreonam, Ciprofloxacin, ESBL	Cefepime, colistin, Nalidixic acid, Cotrimoxazole, Gentamicin, CR, MBL
SWO	Aztreonam	ZREO, Nalidixic acid, Ciprofloxacin, Colistin, Cefepime, CR, ESBL, MBL
Thyme	ZREO, ESBL, MBL	Aztreonam, colistin, Ciprofloxacin, CR
ZREO	Carvacrol, Thyme, Cinnamaledehyde, Nitrofurantoin, Tigecycline, Aztreonam and Piperacillin	HBO, ampoicillin, Tetracycline, Nalidixic acid, Chloramphenicol, Cefoxitin, Cefepime, CR

The positive correlations was common and the drugs not mentioned either in negative or in no correlation columns had statistically significant ($p, \leq 0.05$) positive correlation.

Table 7. Correlation (using diameter of zone of inhibition around the antimicrobial containing disc) of conventional antimicrobial drug sensitivity of ESKAPE bacteria with their sensitivity to other antimicrobial drugs (significance of correlation coefficient was determined using two-tail statistics at $p \leq 0.05$).

Antimicrobial drug	Sensitivity had significant negative correlation with	No significant correlation
Ampicillin	CR, ESBL, MBL	Colistin
Aztreonam	Erythromycin, Vancomycin, ESBL	Cefoxitin, Tigecycline, Chloramphenicol, CR, MBL, Nitrofurantoin, Ampicillin
Cefepime	CR, ESBL	Erthromycin, Tigecycline, MBL
Cefoxitin	CR, ESBL	Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin, Aztreonam

Ceftriaxone	ESBL	Colistin, aztreonam, CR, MBL
Chloramphenicol	ESBL, MBL	Colistin, aztreonam and CR
Ciprofloxacin	Vancomycin, CR, ESBL, MBL	Nitrofurantoin, Erythromycin, Tigecycline, Cefoxitin
Colistin	Tigecycline	Ampicillin, Nitrofurantoin, Cotrimoxazole, Chloramphenicol, Erythromycin, Ceftriaxone, Cefoxitin, ESBL, CR, MBL
Cotrimoxazole	ESBL, MBL	Vancomycin, Colistin, Gentamicin, CR
Erythromycin	ESBL, MBL	Nalidixic acid, Ciprofloxacin, Colistin, Cefepime, CR
Gentamicin	CR, ESBL, MBL	Vancomycin
Nalidixic acid	Vancomycin, ESBL, MBL	Erythromycin, CR
Nitrofurantoin	ESBL, MBL	Aztreonam, Colistin, Ciprofloxacin, CR
Piperacillin	CR, ESBL, MBL	
Tetracycline	CR, ESBL, MBL	Colistin
Tigecycline	Colistin, ESBL, MBL	Ciprofloxacin, Cefepime, Aztreonam, CR
Vancomycin	Cefoxitin, Cefepime, Colistin, Ciprofloxacin, Nalidixic acid, ESBL, MBL	Cotrimoxazole, genta, CR

The positive correlations was common and the drugs not mentioned either in negative or in no correlation columns had statistically significant ($p, \leq 0.05$) positive correlation.




Fig. 1. Extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL), metallo β -lactamase and carbapenem resistance detected in veterinary clinical isolates.




Fig. 2. Temporal antimicrobial resistance patterns from 2011-2017 in ESKAPE pathogens isolated from veterinary clinical cases and associated sources.

The ZI by ciprofloxacin and cinnamon had significant ($p, 0.01$) positive correlation ($r, 0.19$) but significantly ($p, \leq 0.05$) negative correlation with ZIs by ajowan oil ($r, -0.12$), patchouli oil ($r, -0.15$) and agarwood oil ($r, -0.15$). On the other hand ZI by ciprofloxacin had positive ($p, \leq 0.05$) correlation with ZI induced by most of the antibiotics except a negative correlation ($r, -0.23$) with ZIs by vancomycin ($p, 0.01$).

Besides ciprofloxacin, ZI by colistin also had negative ($p, 0.05$) correlation with ZI induced by ajowan oil ($r, -0.14$). The correlation was also negative ($r, -0.12$) among ZI induced by vancomycin and cinnamaldehyde ($p, 0.05$). Aztreonam (active on G-ve bacteria) was the antibiotic having significant ($p, \leq 0.01$) negative correlation with ZIs by guggul oil ($r, -0.15$), patchouli oil ($r, -0.28$), agarwood oil ($r, -0.28$), SWO ($r, -0.19$) and ZREO ($r, -0.23$) similar to that with ZI by erythromycin ($r, -0.28$) and vancomycin ($r, -0.46$), the drugs more active on active on Gram positive bacteria.

Comparison between Antimicrobial Activities of Different Antimicrobials on ESKAPE Group of Bacteria Isolated from Different Sources

Results of comparison among ESKAPE group of bacteria isolated from 11 different sources revealed that bacteria

associated with abortions were more often ($p, \leq 0.05$) sensitive to tetracycline, nalidixic acid, cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol and ceftriaxone than bacteria isolated from many other sources. On the other hand bacteria associated with gastrointestinal tract infections causing diarrhoea were more often ($p, \leq 0.05$) resistant to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, vancomycin, and tigecycline than those isolated from other disease conditions. Bacteria isolated from urinary tract infection cases were more ($p, \leq 0.05$) often resistant to cotrimoxazole and ciprofloxacin than bacteria isolated in association with many other ailments. However, bacteria isolated from apparently healthy animals were more often ($p, \leq 0.05$) sensitive to tetracycline, nalidixic acid, chloramphenicol, and tigecycline than those associated with otopharyngeal infections. Similar to antibiotics, ESKAPE bacteria associated with abortions were also more often ($p, \leq 0.05$) sensitive to ajowan oil, carvacrol, and thyme oil than those associated with other ailments. The ESKAPE bacteria causing diarrhoea were more often resistant to guggul oil, patchouli oil, carvacrol and SWO than those isolated from cases of many of illness.

Bacteria isolated from cases of diarrhoea and otopharyngeal infections in animals were more often ($p \leq 0.05$) resistant to

carbapenem drugs those associated with abortions, deaths and abscess or wound infections. However, bacteria associated with genital tract infections were more commonly ($p \leq 0.05$) sensitive to β -lactam antibiotics, rarely producing ESBL than bacteria from other sources. Bacteria associated with death, diarrhoea and otopharyngeal infections more commonly had ($p \leq 0.02$) multiple drug resistance (MDR) than bacteria associated with other ailments specifically than those caused abortions, abscess/ wounds, conjunctivitis, genital tract infections and those isolated from healthy animals.

DISCUSSION

In the present analysis ESKAPE pathogens in animals were commonly detected either as a cause of infections or associated with animals almost in 13.5% cases in Bareilly area. Though ESKAPE bacteria are more often reported as cause of nosocomial infections, in the present study all the ESKAPE bacteria were associated with non-nosocomial infections indicating their common occurrence in animals. Further, nosocomial infections are not given a due importance in veterinary clinical cases but post surgery infections with highly drug resistant bacteria were not uncommon.^[9, 10, 11, 12] In medical practice 5-10% of human patients may acquire infection in hospital. In US, CDC estimated that ESKAPE pathogens cause over 2 million illnesses and approximately 23,000 deaths per year.^[13] In the study majority of ESKAPE bacteria had MDR (76.5%), produced ESBL (53.9%) and almost every fifth isolate was also resistant to one or more carbapenems. The ESKAPE pathogens are known for their drug resistance; and transfer of antimicrobial drug resistance from companion and domestic animals to humans is a well documented fact.^[14] Therefore, detection of ESKAPE pathogens in sizable number of cases in animals indicated the need for systematic studies on ESKAPE pathogens in veterinary practice.

Detection of multiple drug resistant enterococci from animal sources is not uncommon, even the vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) are of common occurrence.^[15] However, isolation of *E. faecium* has rarely been reported from animals. None of 16 *E. faecium* isolates was resistant to vancomycin but 8 isolates from pigs had intermediated resistance to vancomycin. Isolation of *E. faecium* from apparently healthy animals in India is of more significance due to

sharing of house by human and their domestic animals.

Staphylococcus aureus, is an important pathogen of animals associated with mastitis, wound infections and abscesses.^[16] In the study *S. aureus* was one of the most common ESKAPE bacteria isolated from animals suffering from mastitis, wounds, abscesses and otorrhoea cases. Its importance further increased due to communicability of the infection to humans.^[17] Though vancomycin resistance was not observed, isolates from 29 cases were intermediate resistant to the drug and from 27 cases has methicillin and ampicillin resistance.

The undulating but upward trends of antimicrobial drug resistance in most of the ESKAPEs may not be easily explained, it might be outcome of variation in pattern of clinical cases referred to the Laboratory depending on varying trends of different diseases in animals in Bareilly (Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Annual reports 2011-2017) or the changing pattern of antimicrobial drug use.^[18]

Specificity of some drugs against specific group of pathogens observed in the analysis as aztreonam resistance in GPBs, and erythromycin and vancomycin resistance in GNBs is a well documented phenomenon due to specific targets of the drugs present in specified group of bacteria.^[19] Colistin was the most effective antibiotic to inhibit *P. aeruginosa* active against >90% isolates, besides it acted best on *P. aeruginosa* than any of the other ESKAPE bacteria. Though Colistin is known to be effective on other ESKAPE bacteria,^[19] its clinical utility is limited due to limited systematic use owing to its toxicity.

Herbal antimicrobials are known for their wide spectrum activity on drug resistant bacteria^[20] and some of the screened antimicrobials as cinnamaldehide, cinnamon oil, ajowan oil and carvacrol shown promising activity against most of the ESKAPE bacteria. However, clinical utility of herbal antimicrobials to combat infections with ESKAPE bacteria is still a farfetched goal either as solo-therapy or in combination with antibiotics.^[21] Besides, emergence of herbal drug resistance may be another threat for their use.^[22, 23, 24] However, the study revealed that similar to colistin, an effective antibiotic on *P. aeruginosa*, some of the herbal antimicrobials (cinnamaldehide from cinnamon oil and carvacrol from thyme and ajowan oil) may be

used topically for infections with ESKAPE bacteria and needs evaluation. Carvacrol, a wide spectrum herbal compound, was very effective in control of most of the ESKAPE bacteria in the study; it was quite ineffective on *P. aeruginosa* isolates. It might be due to ability of *P. aeruginosa* efflux pump to throw out the antimicrobial. In a recent study^[25] two efflux pump genes detected in *P. aeruginosa* have been reported modulating carvacrol resistance. In future, with more research on antimicrobial herbal drug resistance (AHDR) mechanism more may be understood about the spread of AHDR in ESKAPE and other bacteria.

The analysis of antimicrobial drug resistance pattern of ESKAPE bacteria isolated from animals revealed that infections with ESKAPE bacteria is common in animals and majority of the isolates had multiple drug resistance and sizeable number were positive for ESBL and MBL production. Therefore, continuous and elaborately planned studies are required to understand the role of domestic and pet animals in circulation of ESKAPE bacteria. Besides, the study indicated that there are potentially good antibiotics and herbal antimicrobials which may be used to treat ESKAPE bacterial infections using the proper clinical microbiology techniques to select the best drug to be used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thankfully acknowledge the permission and financial assistance granted by the Director and Joint Director (R) of Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar.

REFERENCES

1. Navidinia M. The Clinical Importance of Emerging ESKAPE Pathogens in Nosocomial Infections. *J. Paramed Sci*, 2016; 7: 43-7.
2. Santajit S, Indrawattana N. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance in ESKAPE Pathogens. *BioMed Res Int*, 2016; doi:10.1155/2016/2475067.
3. Rice LB. Progress and Challenges in Implementing The Research on ESKAPE Pathogens. *Infect Ctrl Hosp Epidemiol*, 2010, 31(supplement 1): S7-S10. doi: 10.1086/655995.
4. Kumar S, Singh BR. An Overview of Mechanisms and Emergence of Antimicrobials Drug Resistance. *Adv Anim Vet Sci*, 2013; 1(2S): 7-14.
5. Pendleton JN, Gorman SP, Gilmore BF. Clinical Relevance of the ESKAPE Pathogens. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*, 2013; 11(3): 297-308.
6. Singh BR. Do ESKAPE group of Pathogens isolated from sick and apparently healthy animals are as important as those from humans? *ResearchGate*, 2017; https://www.researchgate.net/post/Do_ESKAPE_group_of_Pathogens_isolated_from_sick_and_apparently_healthy_animals_are_as_important_as_those_from_humans
7. Singh BR. Antimicrobial Sensitivity Assay and Antimicrobial Chemotherapy in Animals: A Practical Approach, in: Singh BR, Somvanshi R, eds, *Diseases of Animals: Diagnosis and Management*, Izatnagar: Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, India, 2013, pp. 7-31.
8. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. 24th Informational Supplement M100-S24 and M11-A8, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, Pennsylvania, PA, USA, 2014.
9. Morley PS. Surveillance for Nosocomial Infections in Veterinary Hospitals. *Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract*, 2004; 20: 561-76.
10. Campbell MT. Nosocomial Infections. CVC in San Diego proceedings, <http://veterinarycalendar.dvm360.com/nosocomial-infections-proceedings-0?id=&sk=&date=&pageID=4>
11. Weese JS. Nosocomial Infections are Not Just for Referral Hospitals. *World Small Animal Veterinary Association World Congress Proceedings*, 2010; Guelph, ON, Canada. <https://www.vin.com/apputil/content/defaultadv1.aspx?id=4516385&pid=11310>.
12. Binns S, Coyne K, Weese JS. Hospital-Associated Infections. <https://www.vetstream.com/treat/felis/freeform/hospital-associated-infections>. 2017; *Felis* ISSN 2398-2950
13. Johnson JA. Nosocomial Infections. *Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice*, 2002; 32: 1101-26.
14. Pomba C, Rantala M, Greko C, Baptiste KE, Catry B, van Duijkeren E, Mateus A, Moreno MA, Pyörälä S, Ružauskas

- M, Sanders P0, Teale C1, Threlfall EJ2, Kunsagi Z3, Torren-Edo J, Jukes H, Törneke K. Public Health Risk of Antimicrobial Resistance Transfer from Companion Animals. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2017; 7: 957-68.
4. 15. Singh BR. Prevalence of Vancomycin Resistance and Multiple Drug Resistance in Enterococci in Equids in North India. *J Infect Developing Ctri*, 2009; 3: 498-503.
 16. Peton V, Le Loir Y. Staphylococcus aureus in veterinary medicine. *Infect Genet Evol*, 2014; 21: 602-15.
 17. Vincze S. *Staphylococcus aureus* in Companion Animals: An Infection Source for the Community? Ph.D. Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 2015; http://www.diss.fu-berlin.de/diss/servlets/MCRFileNodeServlet/FUDISS_derivate_000000016852/Vincze_online.pdf
 18. Singh BR. Antimicrobial Drug uses by Veterinarians in Equine Clinical Cases in India. *Res J Vet Sci*, 2010; 3: 165-78.
 19. Davey P, Wilcox MH, Irving W, Thwaites G. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 7th Edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford UK, 2015.
 5. 20. Buhner SH. Herbal Antibiotics: Natural Alternatives for Treating Drug-resistant Bacteria. 2nd Edition, Storey Publishing, LLC, USA, 2012.
 6. 21. Bhardwaj M, Singh BR, Sinha DK, Vadhana P, Vinodhkumar OR, Singh SV, Nirupama K, Shree P, Saraf A. Potential of Herbal Drug and Antibiotic Combination Therapy: A New Approach to Treat Multidrug Resistant Bacteria. *Pharmaceutica Analytica Acta*, 2016; 7(11): 1-4.
 22. Singh BR. Antimicrobial and Herbal Drug Resistance Pattern of Important Pathogens of Animal Health Importance at Bareilly. 16th Indian Veterinary Congress Bhubaneswar, 27-28th February 2016, pp. 71-79.
 23. Vadhana P, Singh BR, Bhardwaj M, Singh SV. Emergence of Herbal Antimicrobial Drug Resistance in Clinical Bacterial Isolates. *Pharm Anal Acta*, 2015; 6: 434. doi:10.4172/21532435.1000434.
 24. Singh BR, Singh V, Ebibeni N, Singh RK. Antimicrobial and Herbal Drug Resistance in Enteric Bacteria Isolated from Faecal Droppings of Common House Lizard/Gecko (*Hemidactylus frenatus*). *Int J Microbiol*, 2013; 8: doi:10.1155/2013/340848.
 - 25 Vadhana P. Molecular Studies on Resistance against Carvacrol in Escherichia coli / Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, 2017.

How to cite this article:


Bhoj R Singh, Monika Bhardwaj, Dharmendra K Sinha, and Vinodh Kumar. *Antimicrobial Drug Resistance Pattern of ESKAPE Pathogens Isolated from Animals in Bareilly, India*. *Br J Bio Med Res* , Vol.02, Issue 04, Pg.426-437, July - August 2018. ISSN:2456-9739 Cross Ref DOI : <https://doi.org/10.24942/bjbm.2018.269>

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Your next submission with **British BioMedicine Publishers** will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text)
- Unceasing customer service
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Global archiving of articles



Track the below URL for one-step submission

<http://www.britishbiomedicine.com/manuscript-submission.aspx>